

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE COMMISSION

_____)	Docket No. 63-001-HLW
In the Matter of)	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY)	
(License Application for Geologic)	November 27, 2013
Repository at Yucca Mountain))	
_____)	

**REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF
MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

In accordance with 10 C.F.R. Part 2 and the Commission’s inherent authorities, Nye County, Nevada, the State of South Carolina, the State of Washington, Aiken County, South Carolina, and the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (collectively the “Five Parties”) respectfully request leave to file a Motion for Reconsideration of the Commission’s November 18, 2013 Memorandum and Order (the “Order”). The Order fails to adequately address issues previously raised by the Five Parties or to demonstrate that the Commission’s chosen path forward fully complies with writ of mandamus issued by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit. *In re Aiken County*, 725 F.3d 255, 267 (D.C. Cir. 2013), *request for rehearing en banc denied on Oct. 28, 2013*. A copy of the Motion for Reconsideration is attached for the convenience of the Commission.¹

¹ Counsel has in good faith attempted to contact all of the parties to the Yucca Mountain proceeding. All of the other parties that timely responded reserved the right to respond to the Five Parties’ request and motion in the future.

Respectfully Submitted this 27th day of November, 2013

/Signed (electronically) by/

Robert M. Andersen

Email: randers@clarkhill.com

Christopher B. Clare

Email: cclare@clarkhill.com

Clark Hill PLC
601 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
North Building, Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20004

Counsel for Nye County, Nevada

/Signed (electronically) by/

Kenneth P. Woodington

Email: kwoodington@dml-law.com

Davidson & Lindemann, P.A.
1611 Devonshire Drive
P.O. Box 8568
Columbia, SC 29202

Counsel for State of South Carolina

/Signed (electronically) by/

James Bradford Ramsay

Email: jramsay@naruc.org

1101 Vermont Ave. NW
Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20005

**Counsel for National Association of
Regulatory Utility Commissioners**

/Signed (electronically) by/

Todd R. Bowers

Email: toddb@atg.wa.gov

Andrew A. Fitz

Email: andyf@atg.wa.gov

State of Washington
Office of the Attorney General
P.O. Box 40117
Olympia, WA 98504-0117

Counsel for State of Washington

/Signed (electronically) by/

Thomas R. Gottshall

Email: tgottshall@hsblawfirm.com

S. Ross Shealy

Email: rshealy@hsblawfirm.com

Haynsworth Sinkler Boyd, P.A.
1201 Main Street, Suite 2200
P.O. Box 11889
Columbia, SC 29211-1189

**Counsel for Aiken County, South
Carolina**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE COMMISSION

_____)	Docket No. 63-001-HLW
In the Matter of)	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY)	
(License Application for Geologic)	November 27, 2013
Repository at Yucca Mountain))	
_____)	

MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

In accordance with 10 C.F.R. Part 2 and the Commission’s inherent authorities, Nye County, Nevada, the State of South Carolina, the State of Washington, Aiken County, South Carolina, and the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (collectively the “Five Parties”) respectfully request that the Commission reconsider its November 18, 2013 Memorandum and Order (the “Order”) and issue a revised order. The Order fails to adequately address issues previously raised by the Five Parties and does not demonstrate that the Commission’s chosen path forward for the licensing of the nuclear waste repository at Yucca Mountain fully complies with writ of mandamus issued by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit. *In re Aiken County*, 725 F.3d 255, 267 (D.C. Cir. 2013), *request for rehearing en banc denied on Oct. 28, 2013*. The Order also fails to provide a sufficient legal and factual basis for the Commission’s decision. Without additional information and modification to the Order, the only potential recourse is to seek relief from the United States Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit.

That Court ordered the Commission to “promptly continue with the legally mandated licensing process.” *In re Aiken County*, 725 F.3d 255, 267 (D.C. Cir. 2013). Even though the

Court recognized that the Yucca Mountain licensing had never been fully funded, similar to other major federal projects requiring years to complete, the Court ordered the Commission to “effectuate the original statutory scheme as much as possible, within the limits of the added constraint.” *Id.* at 259 (citing *City of Los Angeles v. Adams*, 556 F.2d 40, 50 (D.C. Cir. 1977)). NRC’s Order falls short of this requirement.

The NRC Order properly finds “completion of the [Safety Evaluation Reports] SER volumes to be [an] appropriate next step in the licensing process.” Order at 9, 11–12. It then directs the Staff to complete the SERs. *Id.* at 12. However, in doing so, the Order only reiterates that the Staff informed the Commission that the remaining SERs could be “completed and issued concurrently in approximately twelve months after the Staff initiates work” and then directs that the Staff should “work on the completion of all remaining volumes concurrently but issue each SER volume upon completion.” *Id.* at 11–12. The Order does not provide an analysis of the stage of completion that each individual SER was in when the licensing was improperly halted; does not specify what additional work remains for completion of each SER; fails to provide an individual cost estimate for completing each SER; and fails to provide revised staff deadlines or a schedule for the release of each individual SER volume. Given that NRC staff directly involved in development of the SERs previously testified that the SERs were in advanced stages of completion,² these Commission’s failures render the Order arbitrary, capricious, unreasonable, and violative of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act (“NWPA”) as well as the Court’s writ of mandamus.

² See *Nye County, Nevada, the States of South Carolina and Washington, Aiken County, South Carolina, and the National Association of Regulatory Utilities Commissioners Consolidated Response to NRC Order of August 30, 2013 and to Other Parties’ Submittals* (Sept. 30, 2013), at 3–10 (Five Parties Response); see also *Nye County’s Motion for Lifting of Suspension of Yucca Mountain Licensing Proceeding, Scheduling of Immediate Case Management Conference, and Issuance of Related Administrative Orders* (Aug. 23, 2013) (Nye County Motion), and *Points and Authorities in Support of Motion* (August 23, 2013) (Nye County Points and Authorities).

A. The Commission Should Create a Schedule for Release of Each Individual SER.

Appendix D of the NRC's Rules of Practice and Procedure³ provides a schedule for the licensing proceeding, and this schedule includes firm deadlines for different milestones in the licensing proceeding, including the issuance of the SERs. The Atomic Safety and Licensing Board ("ASLB") assigned to adjudicate the license had to modify the schedule for SER issuance due to the improper delaying actions of the previous NRC Chairman. Full compliance with the D.C. Circuit's writ of mandamus and with the NWSA, 42 U.S.C. § 10134(d), requires establishing actual deadlines for the issuance of the individual SERs. At the very least, such deadlines would help deter the types of delays that led to the D.C. Circuit's writ of mandamus in the first place. Therefore, the Five Parties respectfully request that the Commission issue an order outlining a schedule of deadlines for the issuance of the remaining SERs.⁴

B. The Commission Should Provide Detailed Statements of Remaining Work on Each SER and a Cost Estimate for Each SER's Completion.

Additionally, in light of the significant amount of evidence that the majority of the remaining SERs were nearly completed when the licensing was improperly halted,⁵ the Five Parties respectfully request that the Commission provide (or direct the Staff to provide) a detailed listing of what work remains on each individual SER, and an explanation for estimating that an additional twelve months is required. Given the Commission's past conduct that led to the Court's decision and writ of mandamus, it is incumbent on the Commission to demonstrate the factual basis for concluding that twelve months—one third of the three year period proscribed by statute for the adjudication of the entire license—are required to issue SERs that are known to have been almost complete two years ago.

³ 10 C.F.R. Part 2, Appendix D.

⁴ The Five Parties still maintain that a more appropriate and cost-effective step would have been issuing the unredacted and uncensored SER-3 immediately, and restoring the remaining SERs to their original state, reviewing where required, and issuing promptly. Five Parties Response at 8.

⁵ See Five Parties Response at 3–10.

The Five Parties are not suggesting that the Commission does not have some discretion—indeed a range of discretion—to determine how to comply with the D.C. Circuit’s order. But the Commission has an obligation to explain, on the record, the rationale and factual basis for such an extraordinary amount of time. If the Commission has not solicited estimates from the staff who previously worked on the SERs, that failure should also be rectified.

C. The Commission Should Provide the Requested Details and Analysis So That Its Aggregated Estimate of the Time and Cost for All SERs Can Be Evaluated.

The Order also provides that the estimated cost of completing the SERs is \$8.3 million. Order at 11–12. However, despite an increase from the already-inflated prior staff estimate of \$6.5 million,⁶ no attempt whatsoever is made to provide a basis for these estimated costs.⁷ As the Five Parties have previously detailed,⁸ the prior cost estimate of \$6.5 million to release the nearly-completed remaining volumes was, and is, an unreasonable figure. Yet this figure has now risen to \$8.3 million without Commission justification. That estimate reflects Congressional testimony presented by Chairman Macfarlane last month, but gives no further supporting information than provided to Congress. Full compliance with the writ of mandamus and the NWPA requires that the Commission ensure that the remaining funds are spent in the most efficient and justifiable manner possible. Without such information and analysis, it is impossible to determine why the Commission has estimated the cost of completion of all the SERs at such enormous and unsubstantiated levels, between \$6.5 and \$8.3 million.

⁶ The Staff previously estimated that completing and issuing the SERs would cost \$6.5 million. Order at 12, n. 39.

⁷ Footnote 39 of the Order does note that the estimated cost has been “affected by the length of time the licensing process was suspended.” Though it is unclear why this would be the case.

⁸ Five Parties Response at 10–12.

D. The Commission Failed to Adequately Assess Other NRC Costs and Sources of Revenue For Going Forward With the Licensing Proceeding.

Even taking the Commission's highest estimate, the Order fails to address NRC's costs, as opposed to those of the parties, in fully recognizing the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board's ("ASLB") authority to resume the illegally halted licensing proceeding.⁹ The Commission also does not provides an adequate explanation for why prompt issuance of the SERs, followed by staged discovery and adjudication of Phase I post-closure issues, is not achievable with available funds.¹⁰ The parties to the proceeding are unable to assess whether the Commission's denial of the request for an ASLB case management conference and resumption of Phase I discovery is justified without the information and analysis requested by the Five Parties in Sections A through D, coupled with an estimate of the cost of ASLB and NRC staff costs in conducting Phase I discovery. Without additional analysis of ASLB costs, raised by the Five Parties and others, the participants in the licensing proceeding, Congress, and other interested parties will be unable to determine if serial discovery and adjudication of post-closure safety issues is achievable with existing NRC funds. The Five Parties also note that NRC also has not addressed past violations of the Purpose Act or instituted action to recoup or restore appropriations illegally used to terminate, rather than conduct the licensing proceeding, as requested by the Five Parties in its previous submittals.¹¹

⁹ Even based on what appears to be the inflated total cost estimate for issuing the SERs, reviewing the EIS, and loading the DOE's LSN document collection and other participants' collections into non-public ADAMS, the Commission will still have approximately \$1.4 million in unobligated funds to proceed with the adjudication. As explained previously, resuming Phase I discovery will be a cost primarily borne by the participants and would only require oversight by a single ASLB judge, whose salary is limited by statute. Five Parties Response at 21–22; Nye County Points and Authorities at 14–15. Further, the ASLB orders regarding the LSN provided for continuation of the proceeding without the LSN, as counsel for NRC has conceded *In re Aiken County, et al.*, Case No. 11-1271 (D.C. Cir. Aug. 13, 2013), Oral Argument Transcript at 49:12–14. Thus, the remaining \$1.4 million could be sufficient to cover NRC's costs of resuming Phase I discovery and adjudicating post closure issues.

¹⁰ Five Parties Response at 3–16; Nye County Points and Authorities at 14–17.

¹¹ See Five Parties Response at 17–18; Nye County Points and Authorities at 10–14.

CONCLUSION

The Commission's Order of November 18, 2013, failed to address critical issues raised by the Five Parties and again suspended the licensing proceeding without providing adequate justification. For all of the above-stated reasons, the Five Parties respectfully request that the Commission reconsider its order in accordance with this Motion and revise it to immediately and fully address the issues previously raised and detailed in sections A through D above.

Respectfully Submitted this 27th day of November, 2013

/Signed (electronically) by/

Robert M. Andersen
Email: randers@clarkhill.com
Christopher B. Clare
Email: cclare@clarkhill.com

Clark Hill PLC
601 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
North Building, Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20004
Counsel for Nye County, Nevada

/Signed (electronically) by/

Kenneth P. Woodington
Email: kwoodington@dml-law.com

Davidson & Lindemann, P.A.
1611 Devonshire Drive
P.O. Box 8568
Columbia, SC 29202
Counsel for State of South Carolina

/Signed (electronically) by/

James Bradford Ramsay

Email: jramsay@naruc.org
1101 Vermont Ave. NW
Suite 200
Washington, D.C. 20005
**Counsel for National Association of
Regulatory Utility Commissioners**

/Signed (electronically) by/

Todd R. Bowers
Email: toddb@atg.wa.gov
Andrew A. Fitz
Email: andyf@atg.wa.gov

State of Washington
Office of the Attorney General
P.O. Box 40117
Olympia, WA 98504-0117
Counsel for State of Washington

/Signed (electronically) by/

Thomas R. Gottshall
Email: tgottshall@hsblawfirm.com
S. Ross Shealy
Email: rshealy@hsblawfirm.com

Haynsworth Sinkler Boyd, P.A.
1201 Main Street, Suite 2200
P.O. Box 11889
Columbia, SC 29211-1189
**Counsel for Aiken County, South
Carolina**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE COMMISSION

In the Matter of) Docket No. 63-001-HLW
)
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY)
)
(License Application for Geologic)
Repository at Yucca Mountain))
_____)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the Five Parties' "Request for Leave to File Motion for Reconsideration" in the above-captioned proceeding have been served on the following persons this 27th day of November, 2013, by Electronic Information Exchange.

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (ASLBP)
Mail Stop T-3F23
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Construction Authorization Board 04

Thomas S. Moore, Chair
Administrative Judge
thomas.moore@nrc.gov

Paul S. Ryerson Administrative Judge
paul.ryerson@nrc.gov

Richard E. Wardwell Administrative Judge
richard.wardwell@nrc.gov

Anthony C. Eitrem, Esq., Chief Counsel
anthony.eitrem@nrc.gov

Carter Thurman, Law Clerk
carter.thurman@nrc.gov

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of the Secretary of the Commission
Mail Stop O-16C1
Washington, DC 20555-0001
Hearing Docket
hearingdocket@nrc.gov

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of the General Counsel
Mail Stop O-15D21
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Jessica Bielecki, [Esq. jessica.bielecki@nrc.gov](mailto:jessica.bielecki@nrc.gov)
Joseph S. Gilman, [Esq. joseph.gilman@nrc.gov](mailto:joseph.gilman@nrc.gov)
Daniel W. Lenehan, [Esq. daniel.lenehan@nrc.gov](mailto:daniel.lenehan@nrc.gov)
Megan A. Wright, [Esq. megan.wright@nrc.gov](mailto:megan.wright@nrc.gov)
Mitzi A. Young, [Esq. mitzi.young@nrc.gov](mailto:mitzi.young@nrc.gov)
Shelbie Lewman, [Esq. shelbie.lewman@nrc.gov](mailto:shelbie.lewman@nrc.gov)

OGC Mail Center
OGCMailCenter@nrc.gov

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication
Mail Stop O-7H4M
Washington, DC 20555-0001
OCAAMail Center
ocaamail@nrc.gov

U.S. Department of Energy
Office of General Counsel
1000 Independence Avenue S.W.
Washington, DC 20585

Martha S. Crosland, Esq.
martha.crosland@hq.doe.gov
Nicholas P. DiNunzio, Esq.
nick.dinunzio@rw.doe.gov
James Bennett McRae
ben.mcrae@hq.doe.gov
Cyrus Nezhad, Esq.
cyrus.nezhad@hq.doe.gov
Christina C. Pak, Esq.
christina.pak@hq.doe.gov

Office of Counsel, Naval Sea Systems
Command, Nuclear Propulsion Program
1333 Isaac Hull Ave., SE, Building 197
Washington, DC 20376
Frank A. Putzu, Esq.
frank.putzu@navy.mil

For U.S. Department of Energy
Talisman International, LLC
1000 Potomac St., NW, Suite 300
Washington, DC 20007

Patricia Larimore, Senior Paralegal
plarimore@talisman-intl.com

Counsel for U.S. Department of Energy
Hunton & Williams LLP
Riverfront Plaza, East Tower
951 East Byrd Street
Richmond, VA 23219

Kelly L. Faglioni, Esq.
kfaglioni@hunton.com
Donald P. Irwin, Esq.
dirwin@hunton.com
Stephanie Meharg, Paralegal
smeharg@hunton.com
Michael R. Shebelskie, Esq.
mshebelskie@hunton.com
Belinda A. Wright, Sr. Professional Assistant
bwright@hunton.com

Counsel for U.S. Department of Energy
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20004

Lewis M. Csedrik, Esq.
lcsedrik@morganlewis.com
Raphael P. Kuyler, Esq.
rkuyler@morganlewis.com
Charles B. Moldenhauer, Esq.
cmoldenhauer@morganlewis.com
Thomas D. Poindexter, Esq.
tpoindexter@morganlewis.com
Alex S. Polonsky, Esq.
apolonsky@morganlewis.com
Thomas A. Schmutz, Esq.
tschmutz@morganlewis.com
Donald J. Silverman, Esq.
dsilverman@morganlewis.com
Paul J. Zaffuts, Esq.
pzaffuts@morganlewis.com
Shannon Staton, Legal Secretary
sstaton@morganlewis.com
Elaine M. Hirsch, Legal Secretary
ehirsch@morganlewis.com

Counsel for State of Nevada
Egan, Fitzpatrick, Malsch & Lawrence,
PLLC
1750 K Street, NW, Suite 350
Washington, DC 20006

Martin G. Malsch, Esq.
mmalsch@nuclearlawyer.com
Susan Montesi:
smontesi@nuclearlawyer.com

Counsel for State of Nevada
Egan, Fitzpatrick, Malsch & Lawrence,
PLLC
San Antonio, TX 78217

Charles J. Fitzpatrick, Esq.
cfitzpatrick@nuclearlawyer.com
John W. Lawrence, Esq.
jlawrence@nuclearlawyer.com
Laurie Borski, Paralegal
lborski@nuclearlawyer.com

Bureau of Government Affairs
Nevada Attorney General
100 N. Carson Street
Carson City, NV 89701
Marta Adams, Deputy Attorney General
madams@ag.nv.gov

Nevada Agency for Nuclear Projects
Nuclear Waste Project Office
1761 East College Parkway, Suite 118
Carson City, NV 89706

Steve Frishman, Tech. Policy Coordinator
steve.frishman@gmail.com
Susan Lynch, Administrator of Tech. Prgms
szeeee@nuc.state.nv.us

Nye County Regulatory/Licensing Advisor
18160 Cottonwood Rd. #265
Sunriver, OR 97707

Malachy Murphy, Esq.
mrmurphy@chamberscable.com

Nye County Nuclear Waste Repository
Project Office
2101 E. Calvada Boulevard, Suite 100
Pahrump, NV 89048

Celeste Sandoval, Q.A. Records
Spec.csandoval@co.nye.nv.us

Counsel for Lincoln County, Nevada
Whipple Law Firm
1100 S. Tenth Street
Las Vegas, NV 89017

Annie Bailey, Legal Assistant
baileys@lcturbonet.com
Adam L. Gill, Esq.
adam.whipplelaw@yahoo.com
Eric Hinckley, Law Clerk
erichinckley@yahoo.com
Bret Whipple, Esq.
bretwhipple@nomademail.com

Lincoln County District Attorney
P. O. Box 60
Pioche, NV 89403

Gregory Barlow, Esq.
lcta@lcturbonet.com

Lincoln County Nuclear Oversight Program
P.O. Box 1068
Caliente, NV 89008
Connie Simkins, Coordinator
jcciac@co.lincoln.nv.us

For Lincoln County, Nevada
Intertech Services Corporation
PO Box 2008
Carson City, NV 89702

Mike Baughman, Consultant
mikebaughman@charter.net

Counsel for Nye, County, Nevada
601 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
North Building, Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20004

Robert Andersen, Esq.
randersen@clarkhill.com
Christopher Clare, Esq.
cclare@clarkhill.com

Clark County, Nevada
500 S. Grand Central Parkway
Las Vegas, NV 98155

Phil Klevorick, Sr. Mgmt Analyst
klevorick@clarkcountynv.gov
Elizabeth A. Vibert, Deputy District Attorney
Elizabeth.Vibert@ccdavn.com

Counsel for Eureka County, Nevada
Harmon, Curran, Speilberg & Eisenberg,
LLP
1726 M. Street N.W., Suite 600
Washington, DC 20036

Diane Curran, Esq.
dcurran@harmoncurran.com

Eureka County, Nevada
Office of the District Attorney
701 S. Main Street, Box 190
Eureka, NV 89316-0190

Theodore Beutel, District Attorney
tbeutel.ecda@eurekanv.org

Nuclear Waste Advisory for Eureka
County, Nevada
1983 Maison Way
Carson City, NV 89703

Abigail Johnson, Consultant
eurekanrc@gmail.com

For White Pine County, Nevada
Intertech Services Corporation
PO Box 2008
Carson City, NV 89702

Mike Baughman, Consultant
mikebaughman@charter.net

For Eureka County, Nevada
NWOP Consulting, Inc.
1705 Wildcat Lane
Ogden, UT 84403

Loreen Pitchford, Consultant
lpitchford@comcast.net

Eureka County Public Works
PO Box 714
Eureka, NV 89316

Ronald Damele, Director
rdamele@eurekanv.org

Counsel for Churchill, Esmeralda, Lander,
and Mineral Counties, Nevada

Armstrong Teasdale, LLP
1975 Village Center Circle, Suite 140
Las Vegas, NV 89134-6237

Tara Baugh
tbaugh@armstrongteasdale.com

Kolesar & Leatham
1975 Village Center Circle, Suite 140
Las Vegas, NV 89134

Robert F. List, Esq.
rlist@knevada.com

Esmeralda County Repository Oversight
Program-Yucca Mountain Project
PO Box 490
Goldfield, NV 89013

Edwin Mueller, Director
muellered@msn.com

Mineral County Nuclear Projects Office
P.O. Box 1600
Hawthorne, NV 89415

Linda Mathias, Director
yuccainfo@mineralcountynv.org

For Lincoln and White Pine County, Nevada

Jason Pitts, LSN Administrator
P.O. Box 126
Caliente, NV 89008
jayson@idtservices.com

For White Pine County, Nevada
Kelly Brown, District Attorney
801 Clark Street, Suite 3 Ely, NV 89301
kbrown@mwpower.net

White Pine Co. Nuclear Waste Project Ofc
959 Campton Street Ely, NV 89301

Mike Simon, Director
wpnucwst1@mwpower.net

Counsel for Inyo County, California
Gregory L. James, Attorney at Law
712 Owens Gorge Road
HC 79, Box
Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546
E-Mail: gljames@earthlink.net

Counsel for Inyo County, California
Law Office of Michael Berger
479 El Sueno Road
Santa Barbara, CA 93110

Michael Berger, Esq.
michael@lawofficeofmichaelberger.com
Robert Hanna, Esq.
robert@lawofficeofmichaelberger.com

Inyo County Yucca Mountain Repository
Assessment Office
P. O. Box 367
Independence, CA 93526-0367

Cathreen Richards, Associate Planner
crichards@inyocounty.us

Counsel for State of Washington
Office of the Attorney General
P. O. Box 40117
Olympia, WA 98504-0117

Todd R. Bowers, Esq.
toddb@atg.wa.gov
Andrew A. Fitz, Esq.
andyf@atg.wa.gov
Michael L. Dunning, Esq.
michaeld@atg.wa.gov
H. Lee Overton, Esq.
leo1@atg.wa.gov
Danielle French, Esq.
daniellef@atg.wa.gov

California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

Kevin, W. Bell, Senior Staff Counsel
kwbell@energy.state.ca.us

California Department of Justice
Office of the Attorney General
1300 I Street, PO Box 944255
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550

Michele Mercado, Analyst
michele.Mercado@doj.ca.gov

California Department of Justice
Office of the Attorney General
1515 Clay Street, 20th Fl, PO Box 70550
Oakland, CA 94612-0550

Timothy E. Sullivan, Deputy Atty. Gen.
timothy.Sullivan@doj.ca.gov

California Department of Justice
Office of the Attorney General
300 S. Spring Street, Suite 1702
Los Angeles, CA 90013

Brian Hembacher, Deputy Attorney General
brian.hembacher@doj.ca.gov

Counsel for State of South Carolina
Davidson & Lindemann, P.A.
1611 Devonshire Drive
P.O. Box 8568
Columbia, SC 29202

Kenneth P. Woodington, Esq.
kwoodington@dml-law.com

Counsel for Aiken County, SC
Haynsworth Sinkler Boyd, PA
1201 Main Street, Suite 2200
P. O. Box 11889
Columbia, SC 29211-1889

Thomas R. Gottshall, Esq.
tgottshall@hsblawfirm.com
Ross Shealy, Esq.
rshealy@hsblawfirm.com

Florida Public Service Commission
Office of the General Counsel
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32303

Cynthia Miller, Esq.
cmiller@psc.state.fl.us

Counsel for Native Community Action
Council
Alexander, Berkey, Williams & Weathers
LLP
2030 Addison Street, Suite 410
Berkeley, CA 94704

Curtis G. Berkey, Esq.
cberkey@abwwlaw.com
Rovianne A. Leigh, Esq.
rleigh@abwwlaw.com
Scott W. Williams, Esq.
swilliams@abwwlaw.com

Native Community Action Council
P.O. Box 140
Baker, NV 89311

Ian Zabarte, Member of Board of Directors
mrizabarte@gmail.com

Counsel for Prairie Island Indian Community
Public Law Resource Center PLLC
505 N. Capitol Avenue
Lansing, MI 48933

Don L. Keskey, Esq.
donkeskey@publiclawresourcecenter.com

Prairie Island Indian Community Legal
Department
5636 Sturgeon Lake Road
Welch, MN 55089
Philip R. Mahowald, Esq.

Nuclear Energy Institute
Office of the General Counsel
1776 I Street, NW Suite 400
Washington, DC 20006-3708

Jerry Bonanno, Esq.
jxb@nei.org
Anne W. Cottingham, Esq.
awc@nei.org
Ellen C. Ginsberg, Esq.
ecg@nei.org

Counsel for Nuclear Energy Institute
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP
2300 N Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037-1122

Jay E. Silberg, Esq.
jay.silberg@pillsburylaw.com
Timothy J.V. Walsh, Esq.
timothy.walsh@pillsburylaw.com

Counsel for Nuclear Energy Institute
Winston & Strawn LLP 1700 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006-3817

William A. Horin, Esq.
whorin@winston.com
Rachel Miras-Wilson, Esq.
rwilson@winston.com
David A. Repka, Esq.
drepka@winston.com
Carlos L. Sisco, Senior Paralegal
csisco@winston.com

Counsel for National Association of
Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC)
1101 Vermont Avenue, Suite 200
Washington, DC 20005

James Ramsay, Esq.
jramsay@naruc.org
Robin Lunt, Esq.
rlunt@naruc.org

For Joint Timbisha Shoshone Tribal Group
Indian Village Road, P.O. Box 206
Death Valley, CA 92328-0206

Joe Kennedy, Executive Director
joekennedy08@live.com
Tameka Vazquez, Bookkeeper
purpose.driven12@yahoo.com

Counsel for Joint Timbisha Shoshone Tribal
Group
Fredericks, Peebles, & Morgan LLP
1001 Second St.
Sacramento, CA 95814

Felicia M. Brooks, Data Administrator
fbrooks@ndnlaw.com
Ross D. Colburn, Law Clerk
rcolburn@ndnlaw.com
Sally Eredia, Legal Secretary
seredia@ndnlaw.com
Darcie L. Houck, Esq.
dhouck@ndnlaw.com
Brian Niegemann, Office Manager
bniegemann@ndnlaw.com
John M. Peebles, Esq.
jpeebles@ndnlaw.com
Robert Rhoan, Esq.
rrhoan@ndnlaw.com

Fredericks, Peebles, & Morgan LLP
3610 North 163rd Plaza
Omaha, NE 68116

Shane Thin Elk, Esq.
sthinelk@ndnlaw.com

Signed (electronically) by/

Robert M. Andersen
Counsel for Nye County, Nevada
Clark Hill PLC
601 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
North Building, Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 772-0924
[E-mail: randersen@clarkhill.com](mailto:randersen@clarkhill.com)

November 27, 2013